

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
for CDRU # 591 Case File # 95-281661.

Material Examiner Malone (RO)

Remarks:

CRM 584

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: STEVE ROBERTSON

Area(s) of Expertise: HAIR AND FIBERS

Review commenced at: 5:00P (Time), 5/20/99 (Date)

File #: 95-281661

Laboratory #(s): 80201037

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>RQ</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>YO</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<u>US</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>TL</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: MICHAEL MALONE

Testimony Date(s): JULY 6, 8, 1993 Pages: 59-106

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 80201037 Date: 10-24-88

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: 80201037

Laboratory Number: _____

Laboratory Number: _____

Laboratory Number: _____

Page 1 of 5

Initials: SR

CRM 584 A

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: LETTER FROM SUBMITTING AGENCY

Results of Review

File #: 95-281661

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1, Q2, Q6, Q8,

Q9, Q10, Q13, K1

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☐ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 5

Initials SWK

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

- #1. THERE IS INSUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION TO DETERMINE IF THE TESTS WERE PERFORMED IN A SCIENTIFICALLY ACCEPTABLE MANNER.
- #2. THE RESULTS ARE NOT ADEQUATELY DOCUMENTED IN THE NOTES. THERE ARE NO DATES OR INITIALS ON THE NOTES. ABBREVIATIONS USED BY THE EXAMINER TO DESCRIBE THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE HAIR AND FIBERS CANNOT BE INTERPRETED BY THIS REVIEWER. THE NUMBER OF HAIR AVAILABLE OR ACTUALLY USED FROM THE K SAMPLES IS NOT DOCUMENTED. THE NOTES DO NOT DOCUMENT THE COLLECTION OF A FABRIC SAMPLE FROM Q1 TO COMPARE TO THE FIBERS RECOVERED FROM Q13. THERE IS NO DOCUMENTATION THAT FIBERS FROM Q2 WERE COMPARED TO FIBERS FROM Q13 (SEE #3 BELOW). THERE IS NO DOCUMENTATION

Review completed at: 12:45P (Time), 5/21/99 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 5 1/2 HOURS

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 5 pages.

Steve Robert
(Signature)

5-21-99
(Date)